Digital literacy of physical education teachers in the 5.0 era
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ABSTRACT

Digital literacy is a factor that determines a teacher's technology usage in learning. An interesting situation is found when physical education must be conducted in contemporary style, but on the other hand, it must also be able to incorporate practice in the field. This study aimed to investigate the digital literacy level of physical education teachers. The sample in this study was high school teachers in Bojonegoro Regency, consisting of 12 physical education teachers. The sample selection technique used was total sampling. The type of this research is quantitative using a survey method. Data was collected using a questionnaire via Google Form. After the data were obtained and grouped, they were then analyzed using percentages. The outcome of this research was organized into three categories, respectively the low category of 25.06%, the medium one of 33.34%, and lastly the high category of 41.6%. Young teachers fill the high category in the level of digital technology usage. From the results of the Spearman test, the younger the teacher, the better the level of mastery in technology. The computer literacy of physical education teachers affects their use of technology in delivering learning. Several things are related to one another, namely digital literacy, signals, and internet usage.

KEYWORDS

Digital Literacy; Physical Education; Teachers

1. INTRODUCTION

Digitalization leads society towards technological responsiveness (Rachman, Sulaiman & Rumini, 2017). Technology has been integrated into various teaching styles and practices. The technology used as a means of learning is called educational technology (Kiat, Halim & Ibrahim,
Skills in utilizing information and communication technology are considered important (Yaman, 2008; Yaman, 2008; Göktaş, 2012; Adamakis & Zounhia, 2013). Technology improves the quality of learning (McVay, Murphy & Yoon, 2008; Mohd Zin et al., 2012). Innovative technology facilitates creativity and learning productivity.

Various technologies have been used daily. Today's students are Z-generation who have a dependency on technology (Pratama, 2012). The use of technology in the school environment has increased (Wastiau et al., 2013), including the integration of technology in physical education (Leight and Bechtel, 2010). Study stated that physical education teachers have used technology in learning activities, although previous research did not examine the direct effects of digital literacy on physical education teachers. Technology and physical education can integrate well.

Literacy level and the use of technology have a strong relationship (Kreijns et al., 2013). Lack of competence in operating technology has an impact on learning activity (Buabeng-Andoh, 2012). Physical education has more privileges than other subjects in terms of physical activity and human motion (Tinning, 2010; Newell, 2011). This study intends to look at the technological and digital literacy of physical education teachers that are used daily.

Previous research (Konan, 2010) found significant differences between gender, teaching experience, and education level. This research topic is a new study in Indonesia that reveals the use of technology by physical education teachers. Based on the description above, this study is expected to answer current existing problems. This study is also expected to be a recommendation for physical education teachers in integrating technology in the learning activity.

2. METHODS

This study aimed to investigate the digital literacy level of physical education teachers. This type of research is quantitative using the survey method (Sugiyono, 2010). The sample in the study was high school teachers in Bojonegoro Regency, consisting of 12 physical education teachers. The sample selection technique used was total sampling. The average age level of physical education teachers was 43.83 years with 17.1 years of teaching experience on average.

Data was taken using a questionnaire through the Google Form. The research was conducted in March 2021. The data used from the questionnaire including age, work experience, digital literacy level, and the use of learning technology in physical education. In the learning technology section, the sample was asked to answer some questions contained in the questionnaire. The obtained data will be converted using a 5-point Likert scale (5 = very frequently, 1 = never). After the data were collected and grouped, the data were analyzed using percentages.
3. RESULTS

In the following, we present the results of data analysis conducted in a study involving a sample of 12 physical education teachers. According to Table 1, the age of physical education teachers and digital literacy has a significant correlation (Spearman's rho, \( r = .24 \), \( p < .01 \)) and a moderate positive relation. This implies that the younger the teacher, the better the level of technological mastery. In the work experience data, it was found that the shorter the work experience, the better the level of technological proficiency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Sample description</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td>43.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Experience</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 highlights the percentage level of technology utilization. Based on the data, the percentage was found as follows: 3 teachers (25.06%) were in a low level of digital technology usage, 4 teachers were in the medium category (33.34%), and 5 teachers were in the high category (41.6%).

Based on Figure 2, there are several items in the “very frequent” category, such as Smartphones, TVs, Video Recorders, DVD Players, the Internet, Laptops, and Projectors. In the Frequent Category, Smartphones, TVs, Stereo Systems, Video recorders, DVD players, PCs, Internet, Laptops, Projectors, Pictures, Models, and Notebooks are listed. In the Occasional Category, several items included such as Stereo Systems, DVD Players, PCs, Digital Cameras, Video Cameras, Models and Notebooks. Lastly, in the Rare Category, listed stuff such as Stereo Systems, PCs, Digital Cameras, Video Cameras, OHPs, and Whiteboards.
4. DISCUSSION

Most of the physical education teachers in the sample had been working for 17 years. The mean age of the teachers in this study was 43 years old. Age and work experience are crucial factors because the majority of physical education teachers have not mastered the technology to be applied in teaching methods during school and college (Hetland & Strand, 2010). Older physical education teachers can be called digital immigrants, while younger teachers are labeled as digital natives. Following this idea, future generations of physical education teacher candidates are expected to demonstrate a higher level of ICT competence than future candidates of other school subjects.

All this time, the digital literacy level of physical education teachers is unknown. This is proven by the absence of similar studies examining this subject in physical education.

Based on the result of the research, the level of digital technology usage is grouped into three categories, namely the low category which is 25.06%, the medium category with a percentage of 33.34%, and the high category which is 41.6%. Young teachers occupy a high category in the use of digital technology. This result is strengthened by research that shows that those young physical education teachers are already familiar with "digital" devices. Physical education teachers have adequate literacy levels. Despite the general belief that the use of technology leads to a lack of motion (Perlman, Forrest & Pearson, 2012; Mears, 2013).

The use of learning technology by physical education teachers spotlights the tendency of those teachers to include technology in their learning. Physical education teachers use laptops, video recorders, and the internet more often. The rarest uses of media are Stereo Systems, PCs, Digital Cameras, Video Cameras, OHPs, and Whiteboards. This finding shows that the level of technology usage in physical education is great. This good indicator is seen from the type of media used that has adapted to today's era. Although physical education teachers' interest in technology increased as it is stated in previous studies (Gibbone, Rukavina & Silverman, 2010; Perrotta, 2013) physical education
teachers in this study tend to include technology in their learning. Primarily, ICTs (PCs, laptops, and the Internet) are almost used in physical education. The use of the Internet in physical education (Leight & Bechtel, 2010; Elliott et al., 2013). The effect of physical education teachers' technology literacy on Internet usage theoretically comes from direct Internet connections and computers/laptops. From the exploration of internet signals, the area has a good signal. This can be interpreted that there is a positive relationship between digital literacy, signals, and internet usage. The integration of digital cameras in physical education has been investigated however the functions of digital cameras and video cameras are still questionable in terms of capturing video (Cummiskey, 2011), this study did not examine this uncertainty. Teachers use smartphone cameras because those items are considered simpler.

Based on the results of literature reviews related to digital learning, digital learning requires educational information technology (Harman et al., 2011; Okolie-Osemene, 2012; Thapliyal, 2017), educational revolution and digital literacy (Buchanan, 2011; Brown, 2014; Ruyskensvelde, 2014; Blundell, Lee & Nykvist, 2016; Haag et al., 2018; Madsen, Thorvaldsen & Archard, 2018; Paul et al., 2018; Buckingham, 2020), digital competence (Engen, Giaever & Mifsud, 2015; Amhag, Hellström & Stigmar, 2019; Godhe, 2019) and policy support (Buchanan et al., 2012; Lane, 2012; Comeau & Cheng, 2013; Konstantinidis & Bamidis, 2016; Pedersen, Nørgård & Köppe, 2018; Wierzbicka, 2020). Whereas in the development of an educational technology, several studies are needed, namely Technological Mastery from Teachers (Aypay, Çelik & Sever, 2012; Rauscher, 2012; Semiz & Ince, 2012; Trevallion, 2018; Anderson & Putman, 2019), Technological Mastery from Teachers (Lung-Sheng & Kuen-Yi, 2008; Drader, 2014; Putrawangsa & Hasanah, 2018; Hamzeh, Mershad & Vetohin, 2019; Williams, Windle & Wharrad, 2020). Technology Design (O'Sullivan, 2010; Katsioloudis, 2015; Mclain et al., 2019) and Curriculum (Keirl, 2006; Niiranen & Hilmola, 2016).

This research is limited only to high school teachers. Senior high school is considered a mature environment in using technology. The use of technology and the philosophies of physical education will likely differ in terms of school type and grade levels (Gibbone, Rukavina & Silverman, 2010; Kinash, Wood & Knight, 2013). Physical education in primary schools has different principles from that in secondary schools (Parker, Graham & Holt-Hale, 2013), which leads to different applications and reasons for using technology (Sun, 2012). Parental support, society, culture, availability of time, quality of teachers, quality of school principals, and intensity of technology usage are other factors that influence the use of technology (Prestridge, 2012; Perrotta, 2013). Learning technology will continue to develop in physical education. Physical education teachers have the opportunity to implement technology in their learning (Kinash, Wood & Knight,
The higher the level of teacher's digital literacy, the higher the increase of technology usages such as smartphones, laptops, the Internet, and digital cameras in physical education. The limitation in this study is that there are only 12 samples used as initial data to determine the literacy level of physical education teachers. It is hoped in the future, further research could carry out a comprehensive discussion of digital literacy level and multimedia in physical education learning.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The usage level of digital technology is classified into three categories, namely the low category of 25.06%, the medium category of 33.34%, and the high category of 41.6%. Young teachers sit in a high category in the usage level of digital technology. Items that appear in Very Frequent categories of technological usage are smartphones, TVs, video recorders, DVD players, the internet, laptops, and projectors. At the “frequent level”, items that appear are Smartphones, TVs, Stereo Systems, Video recorders, DVD players, PCs, Internet, Laptops, Projectors, Pictures, Models, and Notebooks. In the Occasional Category, the items are Stereo System, DVD Player, PC, Digital Camera, Video Camera, Model, and Notebook. In the Rare Category, the items include Stereo Systems, PCs, Digital Cameras, Video Cameras, OHPs, and Whiteboards. From the outcome of the Spearman test, the younger the teacher, the better the ability in the level of technological ability. Physical education teachers' computer literacy affects their use of technology in learning. Several things are related to one another, namely digital literacy, signals, and internet usage.
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