

CODE OF ETHICS

The *Revista Electrónica Interuniversitaria de Formación del Profesorado (REIFOP)*, as a journal seeking to achieve scientific excellence, models the code of conduct of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), and the transparency policy and best practice guidelines of the Open Access Scholarly Publishing Association (OASPA).

Code of conduct for authors

Originality and plagiarism. Authors submitting their work to REIFOP must confirm that the work presented is original, as well as cite content obtained from other sources. Authors should also confirm that their work has not been accepted in another journal or other publishing medium. In the event of overlapping information from other papers already published, a copy of these should be provided in order to check the extent of the reproduction.

References and list of sources. Authors must always properly cite their sources and any other external contribution to their work.

Financial support. Authors must disclose their sources of financial support, whether private or public, as well as provide the identity of the sponsor.

Authorship and responsibility. Authors accept responsibility for their work and are accountable for ensuring that the data in their work is accurate and authentic. Moreover, all appropriate co-authors contributing to the research should also be acknowledged.

Data access. Authors submitting their work to REIFOP must retain an exact register of the data presented in their original work, and provide or grant editorial access to this data as necessary.

Research, clinical trial and code of conduct. Appropriate consent and permission to release the results of the work must be obtained when research is done on human subjects (teachers, students, parents, etc.) as participants of empirical studies. The privacy rights of human subjects must always be observed.

Conflict of interest. All authors must disclose any potential conflicts of interest that could be viewed as inappropriately influencing (biasing) their work. Potential conflicts of interest should be disclosed at any point during the publishing stage.

Errors detected in the work. When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper.

Duties of editors

Professional review. The editor guarantees the selection of reviewers who have suitable expertise in the relevant field to provide expert, unbiased opinion on the submitted work.

Fair play. The editor of REIFOP must act in an unbiased and fair way, exercising his/her duties without regard to gender, sexual orientation, religious or political belief, ethnic origin or citizenship of the authors.

Equality in the review of sections. The editor of REIFOP must have the same consideration for the submission of papers to monographics approved by the editorial committee as for works

submitted to the standard section. Submissions are only considered and approved based on academic merits, regardless of the economic influence.

Ethical conflict procedure. The editor of REIFOP will employ sensible procedures in the event of ethical conflict or conflict of interest. He/she should give authors a fair opportunity to answer any claims about their manuscript. Every claim should be investigated regardless of the date of publishing. The documents related to any such report will be kept.

Confidentiality. The editor is committed to protecting the confidentiality of all material submitted to the journal except authors, reviewers and editors. The editor and the Editorial Committee are committed to safeguarding the confidentiality of the work, its author(s) and reviewers, so that their anonymity maintains intellectual integrity along the process.

Duties of reviewers

Contribution to Editorial Decisions and quality. Reviewers should assist in the process of making decisions and help improve the quality of the published work through an unbiased, balanced review.

Confidentiality. Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents.

Notification of plagiarism. Reviewers must alert the editor of any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which the reviewer has personal knowledge.

Conflict of interest. Reviewers must consider any potential conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers. Reviewers should alert the editor of any such situation in case it is necessary to end their collaboration as reviewer.

REIFOP agrees upon the code of conduct by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), and the transparency policy and best practice guidelines by the Open Access Scholarly Publishing Association (OASPA):

http://publicationethics.org/files/Code_of_conduct_for_journal_editors_Mar11.pdf

<http://oaspa.org/principles-of-transparency-and-best-practice-in-scholarly-publishing/>