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1.231-232

> vix lucis spatio, vix noctis abactae enumerare queam mores gentemque profanam.
S. Bailey ${ }^{1}$ notes that scholars have been puzzled by the meaning of the words noctis abactae. I would like to suggest that Statius is referring here to a night which has ended (abactae ${ }^{2}$ ). Thus the words vix lucis spatio, vix noctis abactae mean "scarcely in the space of a day, scarcely (in the space) of a night which has ended."
2. 32-34

> est locus - Inachiae dixerunt Taenara gentes - qua formidatum Maleae spumantis in auras it caput et nullos admittit culmine visus.
S. Bailey ${ }^{3}$ notes that the two southern extremities of the Peloponnese (i. e. Taenarus and Malea) lie some forty miles apart. I would like to suggest that perfect sense can be restored to this passage if we translate as follows:
"there is a place - which the Inachians called Taenarus -where a fearful summit (caput) proceeds towards the winds of foaming Malea ${ }^{4}$ and allows no apparitions on its peak."

[^0]Statius is referring to the fact that ghosts did not inhabit the promontory of Taenarus. Instead they lived in the Underworld, which they entered via a cave near Taenarus ${ }^{5}$. S. Bailey is therefore wrong to argue that Statius "is taking poetic license" in this passage. Similarly, it should be noted that Statius does not mention local farmers as Arcadians at 2.50. The words Arcadii ... coloni ${ }^{6}$ mean "Arcadian settlers". Moreover, at 1. 355 Statius does not make any geographical error, as S. Bailey maintains. The Arcadian summits are said to be next to the Laconian forests ${ }^{7}$ : Taenariis contermina lucis / Arcadiae capita alta.

In other words, Statius points out that Arcadia borders on Laconia.
3.559-561
at non prior aureus ille
sanguis avum scopulisque satae vel robore gentes mentibus his usae.
S. Bailey ${ }^{8}$ comments as follows: "mentibus, referring to the methods of divination (entrails, etc.) mentioned in the preceding sentence, is simply a wrong word. The right word is artibus." Textual alteration is, however, not necessary. Statius states that in the past people did not have such a "modo di sentire e di pensare" ${ }^{\text {" }}$, i.e. did not bother about divination.
5.330-334
sic ubi ductorem trepidae stabulique maritum, quem penes et saltus et adulatae gloria gentis, Massylo frangi stupuere sub hoste iuvencae, it truncum sine honore pecus regemque peremptum ipse ager, ipsi amnes et muta armenta queruntur.
S. Bailey ${ }^{10}$ was puzzled by the meaning of the adjective adultae in line 331, and therefore suggested the alteration aduncae. Textual alteration is,

[^1]however, not necessary, since Statius has employed adjectival enallage ${ }^{11}$. Thus the chief bull is called the glory of the adult herd. The poet means that the bull itself is adult (i. e. mature). It should also be noted that S . Bailey proposed that armenta in line 334, should be altered into arbusta. Textual alteration is not warranted. Fields, streams and dumb animals (muta ${ }^{12}$ armenta) are all said to lament for the bull.
5. $346-347$
illis in Scythium Borean iter oraque primi
Cyaneis artata maris.
Line 347 primi: primum v. 1.
S. Bailey ${ }^{13}$ noted that scholars have been puzzled by the meaning of these lines. I would like to suggest that primi ${ }^{14}$ means "eastern". Thus the words oraque primi Cyaneis artata maris mean "the mouth of the eastern sea which is made narrow by the Cyanean rocks." Statius is referring to the mouth of Pontus. It is also possible to print primum ${ }^{15}$, which means here "at first". Thus they are said to have gone "at first (primum) to the mouth of the sea which is narrowed by the Cyanean rocks."
5. 741-743
mansuris donandus honoribus infans.
et meruit; det pulchra suis libamina Virtus
manibus
S. Bailey ${ }^{16}$ explains that "Amphiaraus speaks to the Argive army." Amphiaraus suggests that Virtue (Virtus ${ }^{17}$ ) should offer libations to the shade of Opheltes. According to Statius, Opheltes should be rewarded because his death has helped the Argive army. His nurse had left him alone in the forest in order to

[^2]help the Argives. Thus the honours to be paid to Opheltes' manes ${ }^{18}$ were well deserved by him.
6. 283-285
parte alia victor curru Neptunia tendit lora Pelops prensatque rotas auriga natantes Myrtilos et volucri iam iamque relinquitur axe.
S. Bailey ${ }^{19}$ is puzzled by Statius' reference to a chariot race. I would like to point out that Statius is referring here to the fact that Pelops, who was driving his chariot, kicked Myrtilus ${ }^{20}$, who fell into the sea from the chariot. Pelops, Hippodameia and Myrtilus had set off for a drive across the sea after Pelops had been victorious in the chariot race against Oenomaus. Thus the wheels of the chariot are described as natantes ${ }^{21}$ ("floating") in line 284.
6. 340-341
ecce et Iasonidae iuvenes, nova gloria matris Hypsipyles, subiere iugo quo vectus uterque.
S. Bailey ${ }^{22}$, misunderstanding Mozley and Lesueur, fails to comprehend that iugo is a poetic singular. Statius states that the twins "took stand upon the chariots wherein each rode." For another example of the poetic singular $c f$. my note on 8.633 ff .
6.602- 605

> effugit hic oculos rapida puer ocior aura Maenalius, quem deinde gradu premit horridus Idas inspiratque umero, flatuque et pectoris umbra terga premit.

[^3]S. Bailey ${ }^{23}$ is troubled by the repetition premit...premit. He therefore suggests the alteration ferit. Textual alteration is, however, not warranted. Verbal repetition is common in epic poetry: cf. Mus. Phil. Lond., 10, 1996, page 51.
6.921-923
> tum generum, ne laudis egens, iubet ardua necti tempora Thebarumque ingenti voce citari victorem: dirae recinebant omina Parcae.

In his discussion of this passage, S. Bailey ${ }^{24}$ approves of Alton's conjecture Thebanumque. Textual alteration is, however, not necessary. Adrastus proclaimed that Polynices would be the victor of Thebes (Thebarumque... victorem ${ }^{25}$ ) in the coming conflict with his brother.
7. 700-702

> inde viro maioraque membra diesque laetior et numquam tanta experientia caeli, si vacet.

Line 701 laetior: latior v.l.
S. Bailey ${ }^{26}$ argues that the "true reading" in line 701 is latior. He explains that "the heavens expanded" so that "Amphiaraus could see more of them". It should be noted, however, that perfect sense is provided by the reading laetior. Statius states that the day was rather favourable (laetior) for Amphiaraus ${ }^{27}$.

[^4]interea vittis lauroque insignis opima currus et egregiis modo formidatus in armis luce palam, fusus nulli nullique fugatus, quaeritur.
S. Bailey ${ }^{28}$ explains that "the earth has swallowed up Amphiaraus and his chariot, and the army looks around for them". S. Bailey is, however, puzzled by the fact that "the text mentions the chariot with no word about the rider". Textual alteration, as suggested by S. Bailey, is once again not necessary. As S. Bailey himself realizes, formidatus and fugatus refer to Amphiaraus, not to the chariot. We are therefore faced with an elegant case of metonymy, of the type per id quod continet (currus), id quod continetur (Amphiaraus); cf. Lausberg, Handbuch lit. Rhet.§ 568, d 2 (theatra plaudunt).
8.544-547
sic ulmus vitisque, duplex iactura colenti, Gaurano de monte cadunt; sed maestior ulmus quaerit utrumque nemus, nec tam sua bracchia labens Quam gemit assuetas invitaque proterit uvas.

Line 546 utrumque: utrimque v. 1.
S. Bailey ${ }^{29}$ argues that sense can be restored to this passage if we print the alteration utrique. Textual alteration is, however, not necessary. The elm craves the forest on both sides (utrimque ${ }^{30}$ ). Statius means that the elm was surrounded by trees which it now misses. It should be noted that the elm is personified ${ }^{31}$, and tries to protect the vine ${ }^{32}$, which is imagined to be its wife ${ }^{33}$.
8.633-635
quaenam haec dubiae praesagia cladis?
nec timeo, dum tuta domus milesque recedat Doricus et tumidos liceat componere fratres.

[^5]S. Bailey ${ }^{34}$ points out that scholars have been puzzled by the meaning of this passage. S. Bailey explains that Ismene has had "an alarming dream, in which her young fiancé Atys, now serving in the Theban army, came into her bedroom". I would like to suggest that perfect sense can be restored to the text if we understand that Statius has employed the poetic singular ${ }^{35}$. Ismene states that she is not afraid, provided her home is safe, and the Greek soldiers (miles ... Doricus) leave (recedat), and it is possible to reconcile her violent brothers (i. e. Polynices and Eteocles).
10. 18-19
dux noctis opertae
sorte Meges ultroque Lycus.
S. Bailey ${ }^{36}$ explains that "the Thebans plan a night attack on the Argive camp". He adds that "translators have trouble with opertae". Textual alteration is, however, not necessary, since we are faced here with another example of adjectival enallage ${ }^{37}$. Meges is said to be the commander of the hidden night" (dux noctis opertae ${ }^{38}$ ). Statius means that the activities of Meges will be hidden by the night.
10.104-106

> interius tecti in penetralibus altis
> et cum Morte iacet, nullique ea tristis imago cernitur.
S. Bailey ${ }^{39}$ notes that "in the interior of the palace of Sleep is an image of him along with one of Death". S. Bailey is, however, surprised that Death is said to be sad. It should be noted that the adjective tristis ${ }^{40}$ is regularly used to describe

[^6]death. Statius states that "that mournful sight is seen by none" (nullique ea tristis imago cernitur). He is referring to the fact that nobody goes near to the palace of death; $c f$. Ovid, Met. XI, 592 ff.
10.696-697

> superine profanum
> dignantur stimulare senem...?
S. Bailey ${ }^{41}$ notes that Tiresias is called profanus again at 11. 288. He contends that profanus means "unclean" ${ }^{22}$ and alludes to Tiresias' changes of gender. I would like to suggest that profanus ${ }^{43}$ means "ill-omened", and refers to the fact that Tiresias, who was a seer, prophesied misfortune ${ }^{44}$.
11.295-296 in te ardens frater ferrum mortemque minatur saevaque portarum convellit claustra, nec audis?
S. Bailey ${ }^{45}$ noted that "bolts of city gates are not cruel". He therefore suggested the alteration saepta. Textual alteration is, however, not warranted, since the poet has employed adjectival enallage ${ }^{46}$. Statius means that the gates belonging to the enemy are cruel, and keep the attackers out.
11.548-552
quo retrahis, germane,gradus? hoc languida somno, hoc regnis effeta quies, hoc longa sub umbra imperia! Exilio rebusque exercita egenis membra vides; disce arma pati nec fidere laetis.
S. Bailey ${ }^{47}$ notes that Polynices addresses these lines to Eteocles. He suggests, moreover, that arma should be altered into arta "poverty". Textual

[^7]alteration is not warranted. Polynices ${ }^{48}$ challenges Eteocles to a duel and tells him to learn to fight (disce arma pati).

Conclusion: The reader will see that S. Bailey tends to produce a "nombre excessif" of conjectures, like Garrod (cf. Roger Lesueur, Stace, Thébaïde, Paris 1990, vol. I, page LXXV; on this "tendance inquiétante", cf. H. Van Looy, Myrtia 15,2000 , page 67). I hope that I have shown that his conjectures, if examined in the light of context and Sprachgebrauch, are unjustified. It should, moreover, be noted that Roger Lesueur has written an excellent edition of Statius' Thebaid.
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