

## CODE OF ETHICS OF THE SPANISH JOURNAL OF MEDICAL EDUCATION

The **Spanish Journal of Medical Education** (RevEspEduMed), as a journal that seeks scientific excellence, is inspired by the *code of ethics of the Committee on Publication Ethics* (COPE), and the *principles of transparency and good practices* of the **Open Access Scholarly Publishing Association** (OASPA) .

### Responsibility of the authors

1. **Originality and plagiarism.** Authors submitting an article proposal to the journal must confirm that the submitted manuscript is original and acknowledge and cite content reproduced from other sources. It must also state that the article, as it was presented, is not under consideration nor has it been accepted for publication in another journal or media outlet. In the event of any overlap of information with other works already published, the editor must be provided with a copy of these works to check that level of overlap.
2. **Bibliography and list of sources.** The authors must always provide the correct indication of the bibliographic and other sources, as well as any contribution mentioned in the article.
3. **Funding source.** The authors must indicate if the article presented is the result of public or private funding, as well as provide the correct identification of that funding source.
4. **Authorship and responsibility.** The authors accept responsibility for what has been written and must confirm that all data published in the article is real and authentic. They will also confirm that all the authors have contributed significantly to the research.
5. **Access to data.** Authors who submit an article proposal to the journal must maintain an accurate record of the data related to the submitted original, and provide or facilitate access to this data to the editor if this circumstance is reasonably required.
6. **Research, experimentation and ethical responsibility.** In the case of work involving people, the work must be accompanied by the corresponding authorization report from the institution's Ethics Committee.
7. **Conflicts of interest.** Authors must declare any potential conflict of interest that could exert undue influence at any time during the publication process.
8. **Errors detected in the jobs.** In the case of detection of errors in the submitted manuscript, the authors must immediately notify the journal editor of this circumstance. They must cooperate with the editor to publish an errata, addendum, error correction notice, or to withdraw the article, when deemed necessary.

### Publisher Responsibility

1. **Qualified review.** The editor of the journal guarantees the selection of the most qualified reviewers and scientific specialists to issue a critical and expert appreciation of the work with the least possible bias.
2. **Objectivity and non-discrimination.** The editor of the journal must act in a balanced, objective and fair manner in the exercise of their expected functions, without discrimination based on gender, sexual orientation, religious or political beliefs, ethnic or geographical origin of the authors.
3. **Procedure for ethical problems.** The journal editor will adopt reasonable procedures in case of claims of an ethical nature or conflict of interest. You must give the authors a reasonable opportunity to respond to complaints received about the manuscript. All complaints must be investigated regardless of when the original

publication was approved. Documentation associated with that type of complaint will be retained.

4. **Confidentiality.** The publisher agrees not to disclose information regarding articles submitted for publication to persons other than authors, reviewers, and editors. The editor and the Editorial Committee commit to the confidentiality of the manuscripts, their authors and reviewers, so that anonymity preserves the intellectual integrity of the entire process.

### **Reviewer Responsibilities**

1. **Decision making and quality.** Reviewers of the journal must contribute to the decision-making process and help improve the quality of published work by reviewing the manuscript in an objective, balanced and fair manner.
2. **Confidentiality.** Reviewers must maintain the confidentiality of any information provided by the editor or authors.
3. **Communication about plagiarism.** Journal reviewers should alert the editor to any published or submitted content that is substantially similar to that being reviewed.
4. **Conflict of interest.** Reviewers should detect any possible conflict of interest (financial, institutional, collaborative or other relationships between the reviewer and author) and alert the editor of this issue in case it is necessary to withdraw their collaboration as a reviewer.

The RevEspEduMed journal adheres to the ethical standards of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), and the principles of transparency and good practices of the Open Access Scholarly Publishing Association (OASPA):

[http://publicationethics.org/files/Code\\_of\\_conduct\\_for\\_journal\\_editors\\_Mar11.pdf](http://publicationethics.org/files/Code_of_conduct_for_journal_editors_Mar11.pdf)

<https://oaspa.org/principles-of-transparency-and-best-practice-in-scholarly-publishing/>